arXiv:nucl-th/0006050v1 22 Jun 2000

On the $\Delta\Delta$ component of the deuteron in the Nambu–Jona–Lasinio model of light nuclei

A. N. Ivanov [*‡], H. Oberhummer [†], N. I. Troitskaya [‡], M. Faber [§]

February 8, 2008

Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Wien,
Wiedner Hauptstr. 8-10, A-1040 Vienna, Austria

Abstract

The probability $P(\Delta\Delta)$ to find the $\Delta\Delta$ component inside the deuteron, where Δ stands for the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance, is calculated in the Nambu–Jona–Lasinio model of light nuclei. We obtain $P(\Delta\Delta) = 0.3\,\%$. This prediction agrees good with the experimental estimate $P(\Delta\Delta) < 0.4\,\%$ at 90% of CL (D. Allasia *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B174 (1986) 450).

PACS: 11.10.Ef, 13.75.Cs, 14.20.Dh, 21.30.Fe
Keywords: field theory, QCD, deuteron, Δ isobar

[*]E–mail: ivanov@kph.tuwien.ac.at, Tel.: +43–1–58801–14261, Fax: +43–1–58801–14299
[†]E–mail: ohu@kph.tuwien.ac.at, Tel.: +43–1–58801–14251, Fax: +43–1–58801–14299
[‡]Permanent Address: State Technical University, Department of Nuclear Physics, 195251 St. Petersburg, Russian Federation
[§]E–mail: faber@kph.tuwien.ac.at, Tel.: +43–1–58801–14261, Fax: +43–1–58801–14299

1 Introduction

As has been stated in Ref.[1] that nowadays there is a consensus concerning the existence of non–nucleonic degrees of freedom in nuclei. The non–nucleonic degrees of freedom can be described either within QCD in terms of quarks and gluons [2] or in terms of mesons and nucleon resonances [3].

In this letter we investigate the non–nucleonic degrees of freedom in terms of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance and calculate the contribution of the $\Delta\Delta$ component to the deuteron in the Nambu–Jona–Lasinio model of light nuclei or differently the nuclear Nambu–Jona–Lasinio (NNJL) model [4,5]. As has been shown in Ref. [4] the NNJL model is motivated by QCD. The deuteron appears *in the nuclear phase of QCD* as a neutron–proton collective excitation, the Cooper np–pair, induced by a phenomenological local four–nucleon interaction. The NNJL model describes low–energy nuclear forces in terms of one–nucleon loop exchanges providing a minimal transfer of nucleon flavours from initial to final nuclear states and accounting for contributions of nucleon–loop anomalies which are completely determined by one–nucleon loop diagrams. The dominance of contributions of nucleon–loop anomalies to effective Lagrangians of low–energy nuclear interactions is justified in the large N_C expansion, where N_C is the number of quark colours [4]. As has been shown in Refs. [5] the NNJL model describes good low–energy nuclear forces for electromagnetic and weak nuclear reactions with the deuteron of astrophysical interest such as the neutron–proton radiative capture $\mathrm{n} + \mathrm{p} \to \mathrm{D} + \gamma$, the solar proton burning $\mathrm{p} + \mathrm{p} \to \mathrm{D} + \mathrm{e}^+ + \nu_\mathrm{e}$, the pep–process $\mathrm{p} + \mathrm{e}^- + \mathrm{p} \to \mathrm{D} + \nu_\mathrm{e}$ and reactions of the disintegration of the deuteron by neutrinos and anti–neutrinos caused by charged $\nu_\mathrm{e} + \mathrm{D} \to \mathrm{e}^- + \mathrm{p} + \mathrm{p}$, $\bar{\nu}_\mathrm{e} + \mathrm{D} \to \mathrm{e}^+ + \mathrm{n} + \mathrm{n}$ and neutral $\nu_\mathrm{e}(\bar{\nu}_\mathrm{e}) + \mathrm{D} \to \nu_\mathrm{e}(\bar{\nu}_\mathrm{e}) + \mathrm{n} + \mathrm{p}$ weak currents.

A phenomenological Lagrangian of the npD interaction is defined by [4]

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{npD}}(x) = -ig_\mathrm{V}[\bar{p}(x)\gamma^\mu n^c(x) - \bar{n}(x)\gamma^\mu p^c(x)]D_\mu(x), \tag{1.1}$$

where $D_\mu(x)$, $n(x)$ and $p(x)$ are the interpolating fields of the deuteron, the neutron and the proton. The phenomenological coupling constant g_V is related to the electric quadrupole moment of the deuteron $Q_\mathrm{D} = 0.286\,\mathrm{fm}$: $g^2_\mathrm{V} = 2\pi^2 Q_\mathrm{D} M^2_\mathrm{N}$ [4], where $M_\mathrm{N} = 940\,\mathrm{MeV}$ is the nucleon mass. In the isotopically invariant form the phenomenological interaction Eq.(1.1) can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{npD}}(x) = g_\mathrm{V}\,\bar{N}(x)\gamma^\mu\tau_2 N^c(x)\,D_\mu(x), \tag{1.2}$$

where τ_2 is the Pauli isotopical matrix and $N(x)$ is a doublet of a nucleon field with components $N(x) = (p(x), n(x))$, $N^c(x) = C\,\bar{N}^T(x)$ and $\bar{N}^c(x) = N^T(x)\,C$, where C is a charge conjugation matrix and T is a transposition.

In the NNJL model [5] the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance is the Rarita–Schwinger field [6] $\Delta^a_\mu(x)$, the isotopical index a runs over $a = 1, 2, 3$, having the following free Lagrangian [7,8]:

$$\mathcal{L}^\Delta_{\mathrm{kin}}(x) = \bar{\Delta}^a_\mu(x)[-(i\gamma^\alpha\partial_\alpha - M_\Delta)\,g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\beta(i\gamma^\alpha\partial_\alpha - M_\Delta)\gamma_\beta\gamma^\nu]\Delta^a_\nu(x), \tag{1.3}$$

where $M_\Delta = 1232\,\mathrm{MeV}$ is the mass of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance field $\Delta^a_\mu(x)$. In terms of the

eigenstates of the electric charge operator the fields $\Delta^a_\mu(x)$ are given by [7,8]

$$\Delta^1_\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c} \Delta^{++}_\mu(x) - \Delta^0_\mu(x)/\sqrt{3} \\ \Delta^+_\mu(x)/\sqrt{3} - \Delta^-_\mu(x) \end{array} \right), \; \Delta^2_\mu(x) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\begin{array}{c} \Delta^{++}_\mu(x) + \Delta^0_\mu(x)/\sqrt{3} \\ \Delta^+_\mu(x)/\sqrt{3} + \Delta^-_\mu(x) \end{array} \right),$$
$$\Delta^3_\mu(x) = -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\left(\begin{array}{c} \Delta^+_\mu(x) \\ \Delta^0_\mu(x) \end{array} \right). \tag{1.4}$$

The fields $\Delta^a_\mu(x)$ obey the subsidiary constraints: $\partial^\mu\Delta^a_\mu(x) = \gamma^\mu\Delta^a_\mu(x) = 0$ [7–9]. The Green function of the free Δ–field is determined by

$$< 0|\mathrm{T}(\Delta_\mu(x_1)\bar{\Delta}_\nu(x_2))|0 >= -iS_{\mu\nu}(x_1 - x_2). \tag{1.5}$$

In the momentum representation $S_{\mu\nu}(x)$ reads [5–8]:

$$S_{\mu\nu}(p) = \frac{1}{M_\Delta - \hat{p}}\biggl(- g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{3}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu + \frac{1}{3}\frac{\gamma_\mu p_\nu - \gamma_\nu p_\mu}{M_\Delta} + \frac{2}{3}\frac{p_\mu p_\nu}{M^2_\Delta}\biggr). \tag{1.6}$$

The most general form of the $\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta$ interaction compatible with the requirements of chiral symmetry reads [7]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_{\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta}(x) = \frac{g_{\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta}}{2M_\mathrm{N}}\bar{\Delta}^a_\omega(x)\Theta^{\omega\varphi}N(x)\partial_\varphi\pi^a(x) + \mathrm{h.c.} = \\
&= \frac{g_{\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta}}{\sqrt{6}M_\mathrm{N}}\biggl[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\Delta}^+_\omega(x)\Theta^{\omega\varphi}n(x)\partial_\varphi\pi^+(x) - \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\bar{\Delta}^0_\omega(x)\Theta^{\omega\varphi}p(x)\partial_\varphi\pi^-(x) \\
&-\bar{\Delta}^+_\omega(x)\Theta^{\omega\varphi}p(x)\partial_\varphi\pi^0(x) - \bar{\Delta}^0_\omega(x)\Theta^{\omega\varphi}p(x)\partial_\varphi\pi^0(x) + \ldots\biggr],
\end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where $\pi^a(x)$ is the pion field with the components $\pi^1(x) = (\pi^-(x) + \pi^+(x))/\sqrt{2}$, $\pi^2(x) = (\pi^-(x) - \pi^+(x))/i\sqrt{2}$ and $\pi^3(x) = \pi^0(x)$. The tensor $\Theta^{\omega\varphi}$ is given in Ref. [7]: $\Theta^{\omega\varphi} = g^{\omega\varphi} - (Z + 1/2)\gamma^\omega\gamma^\varphi$, where the parameter Z is arbitrary. The parameter Z defines the $\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta$ coupling off–mass shell of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance. There is no consensus on the exact value of Z. From theoretical point of view $Z = 1/2$ is preferred [7]. Phenomenological studies give only the bound $|Z| \leq 1/2$ [9]. The value of the coupling constant $g_{\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta}$ relative to the coupling constant $g_{\pi\mathrm{NN}}$ is $g_{\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta} = 2\,g_{\pi\mathrm{NN}}$ [10]. As has been shown in Ref. [5] for the description of the experimental value of the cross section for the neutron–proton radiative capture for thermal neutrons the parameter Z should be equal to $Z = 0.473$. This agrees with the experimental bound [9]. At $Z = 1/2$ we get the result agreeing with the experimental value of the cross section for the neutron–proton radiative capture with accuracy about 3% [5].

For the subsequent calculations of the $\Delta\Delta$ component of the deuteron it is useful to have the Lagrangian of the $\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta$ interaction taken in the equivalent form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta}(x) = \frac{g_{\pi\mathrm{N}\Delta}}{2M_\mathrm{N}}\,\partial_\varphi\pi^a(x)\bar{N}^c(x)\Theta^{\varphi\omega}\Delta^a_\omega(x)^c + \mathrm{h.c.}, \tag{1.8}$$

where $\Delta^a_\omega(x)^c = C\bar{\Delta}^a_\omega(x)^T$. Now we can proceed to the evaluation of the $\Delta\Delta$ component of the deuteron.

2 Effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction

In the NNJL model the existence of the $\Delta\Delta$ component of the deuteron we can understand in terms of the coupling constants of the effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction.

In order to evaluate the Lagrangian of the effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x)$ we have to obtain, first, the effective Lagrangian of the transition ${\rm N} + {\rm N} \to \Delta + \Delta$. This effective Lagrangian we define in the one–pion exchange approximation [5,11]

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^4x\, \mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{{\rm NN}\to\Delta\Delta}(x) &= -\frac{g^2_{\pi{\rm N}\Delta}}{8M^2_{\rm N}} \iint d^4x_1\, d^4x_2\, [\bar{\Delta}^a_\alpha(x_1)\Theta^{\alpha\beta}N(x_1)] \\ &\times \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^\varphi}[\delta^{ab}\Delta(x_1 - x_2)]\, [\bar{N}^c(x_2)\Theta^{\varphi\omega}\Delta^b_\omega(x_2)^c], \qquad (2.1)\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(x_1 - x_2)$ is the Green function of π–mesons. In terms of the Lagrangians of the npD interaction and the ${\rm N} + {\rm N} \to \Delta + \Delta$ transition the Lagrangian of the effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction can be defined by

$$\begin{aligned}&\int d^4x\, \mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x) = -i\, g_{\rm V}\, \frac{g^2_{\pi{\rm N}\Delta}}{4M^2_{\rm N}} \int d^4x\, d^4x_1\, d^4x_2\, D_\mu(x) \\ &[\bar{\Delta}^a_\alpha(x_1)\Theta^{\alpha\beta}S_F(x - x_1)\gamma^\mu\tau_2 S^c_F(x - x_2)\Theta^{\varphi\omega}\Delta^a_\omega(x_2)^c]\, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1^\varphi}\Delta(x_1 - x_2), \qquad (2.2)\end{aligned}$$

where $S_F(x - x_1)$ and $S^c_F(x - x_2)$ are the Green functions of the free nucleon and anti–nucleon fields, respectively.

Such a definition of the contribution of the $\Delta\Delta$ component to the deuteron is in agreement with that given by Niephaus *et al.* [12] in the potential model approach (PMA).

For the evaluation of the effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x)$ we would follow the large N_C expansion approach to non–perturbative QCD [4]. In the large N_C approach to non–perturbative QCD with $SU(N_C)$ gauge group at $N_C \to \infty$ the nucleon mass is proportional to the number of quark colour degrees of freedom, $M_{\rm N} \sim N_C$ [13]. It is well–known that for the evaluation of effective Lagrangians all momenta of interacting particles should be kept off–mass shell. This implies that at leading order in the large N_C expansion corresponding the $1/M_{\rm N}$ expansion of the momentum integral defining the effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x)$ one can neglect the momenta of interacting particles with respect to the mass of virtual nucleons. As a result the effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x)$ reduces itself to the local form and reads

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x) = \frac{g_{\rm V}}{16\pi^2}\, \frac{g^2_{\pi{\rm N}\Delta}}{4M^2_{\rm N}} [\bar{\Delta}^a_\alpha(x)\, \Theta^{\alpha\mu\omega}\tau_2\Delta^a_\omega(x)^c]\, D_\mu(x), \qquad (2.3)$$

where the structure function $\Theta^{\alpha\mu\omega}$ is given by the momentum integral

$$\Theta^{\alpha\mu\omega} = \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i}\, \frac{1}{M^2_\pi - k^2}\, \Theta^{\alpha\beta}k_\beta \frac{1}{M_{\rm N} - \hat{k}}\gamma^\mu \frac{1}{M_{\rm N} + \hat{k}}k_\varphi\Theta^{\varphi\omega}. \qquad (2.4)$$

Integrating over k we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta^{\alpha\mu\omega} &= \frac{1}{3}\Big[I_1(M_{\rm N}) - \frac{5}{2}\, M^2_{\rm N}\, I_2(M_{\rm N})\Big]\, \Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu\Theta_\beta{}^\omega \\ &- \frac{1}{12}\Big[I_1(M_{\rm N}) - M^2_{\rm N}\, I_2(M_{\rm N})\Big]\, (\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_\beta\Theta^{\mu\omega} + \Theta^{\alpha\mu}\gamma_\varphi\Theta^{\varphi\omega}), \qquad (2.5)\end{aligned}$$

where the quadratically, $I_1(M_{\rm N})$, and logarithmically, $I_2(M_{\rm N})$, divergent integrals are determined by [4]

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_1(M_{\rm N}) &= \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i}\,\frac{1}{M_{\rm N}^2 - k^2} = 2\left[\Lambda\,\sqrt{M_{\rm N}^2 + \Lambda^2} - M_{\rm N}^2\,\ell n\biggl(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{\rm N}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{\rm N}^2}}\biggr)\right],\\
I_2(M_{\rm N}) &= \int \frac{d^4k}{\pi^2 i}\,\frac{1}{(M_{\rm N}^2 - k^2)^2} = 2\left[\ell n\biggl(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{\rm N}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{\rm N}^2}}\biggr) - \frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{M_{\rm N}^2 + \Lambda^2}}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.6}
$$

The cut–off Λ restricts from above 3–momenta of fluctuating nucleon fields. Since we have no closed nucleon loops, the cut–off Λ cannot be determined by the scale of the deuteron size $r_{\rm D} \sim 1/\Lambda_{\rm D}$ [4]. The natural value of Λ is the scale of the Compton wavelength of the nucleon $\lambda\!\!\!^{-}_{\rm N} = 1/M_{\rm N} = 0.21\,{\rm fm}$, i.e. $\Lambda = M_{\rm N}$.

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x)$ of the effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction we obtain in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x) = g_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}\,[\bar{\Delta}^a_\alpha(x)\,\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu\Theta_\beta{}^\omega\tau_2\Delta^a_\omega(x)^c]\,D_\mu(x)\\
&+\bar{g}_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}\,[\bar{\Delta}^a_\alpha(x)\,(\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_\beta\Theta^{\mu\omega} + \Theta^{\alpha\mu}\gamma_\varphi\Theta^{\varphi\omega})\,\tau_2\Delta^a_\omega(x)^c]\,D_\mu(x) =\\
&= -\,i\,g_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}[\bar{\Delta}^-_\alpha(x)\,\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu\Theta_\beta{}^\omega\Delta^{++}_\omega(x)^c - \bar{\Delta}^{++}_\alpha(x)\,\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu\Theta_\beta{}^\omega\Delta^-_\omega(x)^c\\
&+\bar{\Delta}^+_\alpha(x)\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu\Theta_\beta{}^\omega\Delta^0_\omega(x)^c - \bar{\Delta}^0_\alpha(x)\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma^\mu\Theta_\beta{}^\omega\Delta^+_\omega(x)^c]\,D_\mu(x)\\
&-\,i\,\bar{g}_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}[\bar{\Delta}^-_\alpha(x)\,(\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_\beta\Theta^{\mu\omega} + \Theta^{\alpha\mu}\gamma_\varphi\Theta^{\varphi\omega})\,\Delta^{++}_\omega(x)^c\\
&-\bar{\Delta}^{++}_\alpha(x)\,(\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_\beta\Theta^{\mu\omega} + \Theta^{\alpha\mu}\gamma_\varphi\Theta^{\varphi\omega})\,\Delta^-_\omega(x)^c\\
&+\bar{\Delta}^+_\alpha(x)\,(\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_\beta\Theta^{\mu\omega} + \Theta^{\alpha\mu}\gamma_\varphi\Theta^{\varphi\omega})\,\Delta^0_\omega(x)^c\\
&-\bar{\Delta}^0_\alpha(x)\,(\Theta^{\alpha\beta}\gamma_\beta\Theta^{\mu\omega} + \Theta^{\alpha\mu}\gamma_\varphi\Theta^{\varphi\omega})\,\Delta^+_\omega(x)^c],
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.7}
$$

where the effective coupling constants $g_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}$ and $\bar{g}_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}$ read

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}} &= g_{\rm V}\,\frac{7g^2_{\pi{\rm N}\Delta}}{384\pi^2}\left[\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{M_{\rm N}^2 + \Lambda^2}}\left(1 + \frac{2}{7}\,\frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{\rm N}^2}\right) - \ell n\biggl(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{\rm N}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{\rm N}^2}}\biggr)\right],\\
\bar{g}_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}} &= -\,g_{\rm V}\,\frac{g^2_{\pi{\rm N}\Delta}}{192\pi^2}\left[\frac{\Lambda}{\sqrt{M_{\rm N}^2 + \Lambda^2}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{\rm N}^2}\right) - \ell n\biggl(\frac{\Lambda}{M_{\rm N}} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{\Lambda^2}{M_{\rm N}^2}}\biggr)\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.8}
$$

On–mass shell of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance, i.e. in the case of the PMA [1,12], the contribution of the parameter Z vanishes and the effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction acquires the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}(x) = g_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}\,g^{\alpha\beta}[\bar{\Delta}^a_\alpha(x)\,\gamma^\mu\tau_2\Delta^a_\beta(x)^c]\,D_\mu(x) =\\
&= -\,i\,g_{\Delta\Delta{\rm D}}\,g^{\alpha\beta}\,[\bar{\Delta}^-_\alpha(x)\gamma^\mu\Delta^{++}_\beta(x)^c - \bar{\Delta}^{++}_\alpha(x)\gamma^\mu\Delta^-_\beta(x)^c\\
&+\bar{\Delta}^+_\alpha(x)\gamma^\mu\Delta^0_\beta(x)^c - \bar{\Delta}^0_\alpha(x)\gamma^\mu\Delta^+_\beta(x)^c]\,D_\mu(x).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.9}
$$

The total probability $P(\Delta\Delta)$ to find the $\Delta\Delta$ component inside the deuteron we determine as follows

$$
P(\Delta\Delta) = \frac{d\Gamma({\rm D} \to \Delta\Delta)}{d\Gamma({\rm D} \to {\rm np})},
\tag{2.10}
$$

where $d\Gamma(\mathrm{D} \to \Delta\Delta)$ and $d\Gamma(\mathrm{D} \to \mathrm{np})$ are the differential rates of the transitions D $\to \Delta$ + Δ and D $\to$ n + p, respectively, defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
&d\Gamma(\mathrm{D}(P) \to \Delta(p_1)\Delta(p_2)) = 8\, g^2_{\Delta\Delta\mathrm{D}}\, \frac{d\Phi_{\Delta\Delta}(p_1,p_2)}{6\,\sqrt{s}} \left(-\, g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{P_\mu P_\nu}{s} \right) \\
&\times \mathrm{tr}\Big\{ (M_\Delta + \hat{p}_1) \left(-\, g_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{3}\,\gamma_\alpha\gamma_\beta + \frac{1}{3}\,\frac{\gamma_\alpha p_{1\beta} - \gamma_\beta p_{1\alpha}}{M_\Delta} + \frac{2}{3}\,\frac{p_{1\alpha}p_{1\beta}}{M^2_\Delta} \right) \gamma^\mu \\
&\times \left(-\, g^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{3}\,\gamma^\beta\gamma^\alpha + \frac{1}{3}\,\frac{\gamma^\beta p_2^\alpha - \gamma^\alpha p_2^\beta}{M_\Delta} + \frac{2}{3}\,\frac{p_2^\beta p_2^\alpha}{M^2_\Delta} \right) (-\, M_\Delta + \hat{p}_2)\gamma^\nu \Big\}, \\
&d\Gamma(\mathrm{D}(P) \to \mathrm{n}(p_1)\mathrm{p}(p_2)) = 4\, g^2_{\mathrm{V}}\, \frac{d\Phi_{\mathrm{np}}(p_1,p_2)}{6\,\sqrt{s}} \left(-\, g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{P_\mu P_\nu}{s} \right) \\
&\times \mathrm{tr}\{ (M_{\mathrm{N}} + \hat{p}_1)\gamma^\mu (-\, M_{\mathrm{N}} + \hat{p}_2)\gamma^\nu \}. \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have denoted as $P = p_1 + p_2$ and $P^2 = s$ the 4–momentum and the invariant squared mass of the deuteron, respectively. Then, $d\Phi_{\Delta\Delta}(p_1,p_2)$ and $d\Phi_{\mathrm{np}}(p_1,p_2)$ are the phase volumes of the $\Delta\Delta$ and np states. The two–particle phase volume is equal to

$$
d\Phi(p_1,p_2) = (2\pi)^4 (P - p_1 - p_2)\, \frac{d^3p_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1}\, \frac{d^3p_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2}. \qquad (2.12)
$$

At leading order in the large N_C expansion, when we can neglect the mass difference between the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance and the nucleon, the phase volumes $d\Phi_{\Delta\Delta}(p_1,p_2)$ and $d\Phi_{\mathrm{np}}(p_1,p_2)$ are equal

$$
d\Phi_{\Delta\Delta}(p_1,p_2) = d\Phi_{\mathrm{np}}(p_1,p_2) = d\Phi(p_1,p_2). \qquad (2.13)
$$

The differential rates $d\Gamma(\mathrm{D}(P) \to \Delta(p_1)\Delta(p_2))$ and $d\Gamma(\mathrm{D}(P) \to \mathrm{n}(p_1)\mathrm{p}(p_2))$ calculated at leading order in the large N_C expansion are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\Gamma(\mathrm{D}(P) \to \Delta(p_1)\Delta(p_2)) &= \frac{10}{9} \times 8 \times g^2_{\Delta\Delta\mathrm{D}} \times \sqrt{s}\, d\Phi(p_1,p_2), \\
d\Gamma(\mathrm{D}(P) \to \mathrm{n}(p_1)\mathrm{p}(p_2)) &= 4 \times g^2_{\mathrm{V}} \times \sqrt{s}\, d\Phi(p_1,p_2). \qquad (2.14)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the probability $P(\Delta\Delta)$ to find the $\Delta\Delta$ component inside the deuteron amounts to

$$
P(\Delta\Delta) = \frac{10}{9} \times \frac{2 g^2_{\Delta\Delta\mathrm{D}}}{g^2_{\mathrm{V}}} = 0.3\,\%, \qquad (2.15)
$$

where the numerical value is obtained at $\Lambda = M_{\mathrm{N}}$.

Our theoretical prediction agrees good with recent experimental estimate of the upper limit $P(\Delta\Delta) < 0.4\%$ at 90% of CL [14] quoted by Dymarz and Khanna [1].

3 Conclusion

The theoretical estimate of the contribution of the $\Delta\Delta$ component to the deuteron obtained in the NNJL model agrees good with the experimental upper limit. Indeed, for

the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance on–mass shell [1,12] we predict $P(\Delta\Delta) = 0.3\,\%$ whereas experimentally $P(\Delta\Delta)$ is restricted by $P(\Delta\Delta) < 0.4\%$ at 90% of CL [14].

Off–mass shell of the $\Delta(1232)$ resonance, where the parameter Z should contribute, our prediction for $P(\Delta\Delta)$ can be changed, of course. Moreover, due to Z dependence the contributions of the $\Delta\Delta$ component to amplitudes of different low–energy nuclear reactions and physical quantities can differ each other. However, we would like to emphasize that in the NNJL model by using the effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction determined by Eq.(2.7) one can calculate the contribution of the $\Delta\Delta$ component of the deuteron to the amplitude of any low–energy nuclear reaction with the deuteron in the initial or final state.

In our approach we do not distinguish contributions of the $\Delta\Delta$–pair with a definite orbital momentum ${}^3\mathrm{S}_1^{\Delta\Delta}$, ${}^3\mathrm{D}_1^{\Delta\Delta}$ and so on to the effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction Eq.(2.7). The obtained value of the probability $P(\Delta\Delta)$ should be considered as a sum of all possible states with a certain orbital momentum.

Our prediction $P(\Delta\Delta) = 0.3\,\%$ agrees reasonably well with the result obtained by Dymarz and Khanna in the PMA [1]: $P(\Delta\Delta) \simeq 0.4 \div 0.5\,\%$. Unlike our approach Dymarz and Khanna have given a percentage of the probabilities of different states ${}^3\mathrm{S}_1^{\Delta\Delta}$, ${}^3\mathrm{D}_1^{\Delta\Delta}$ and so to the wave function of the deuteron. In our approach the deuteron couples to itself and other particles through the one–baryon loop exchanges. The effective Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta\Delta\mathrm{D}}(x)$ of the $\Delta\Delta$D interaction given by Eq.(2.7) defines completely the contribution of the $\Delta\Delta$ intermediate states to baryon–loop exchanges. The decomposition of the effective $\Delta\Delta$D interaction in terms of the $\Delta\Delta$ states with a certain orbital momentum should violate Lorentz invariance for the evaluation of the contribution of every state to whether the amplitude of a low–energy nuclear reaction or a low–energy physical quantity. In the NNJL model this can lead to incorrect results. The relativistically covariant procedure of the decomposition of the interactions like the $\Delta\Delta$D one in terms of the states with a certain orbital momenta is now in progress in the NNJL model. However, a smallness of the contribution of the $\Delta\Delta$ component to the deuteron obtained in the NNJL model makes such a decomposition applied to the $\Delta\Delta$D interaction meaningless to some extent due to impossibility to measure the terms separately.

4 Acknowledgement

We are grateful to Prof. W. Plessas for discussions which stimulated this investigation.

References

[1] R. Dymarz and F. C. Khanna, Nucl. Phys. **A 516**, 549 (1990).

[2] *QUARKS AND NUCLEI*, ed W. Weise, World Scientific, Singapore, 1989.

[3] *MESONS IN NUCLEI*, ed. M. Rho and D. H. Wilkinson, Noth–Holland, Amsterdam, 1979.

[4] A. N. Ivanov, H. Oberhummer, N. I. Troitskaya and M. Faber, Eur. Phys. J. **7**, 519 (2000).

[5] A. N. Ivanov, H. Oberhummer, N. I. Troitskaya and M. Faber, *Dynamics of low–energy nuclear forces for electromagnetic and weak reactions with the deuteron in the Nambu–Jona–Lasinio model of light nuclei*, Eur. Phys. J. A (in press).

[6] W. Rarita and J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **60**, 61 (1941).

[7] L. M. Nath, B. Etemadi and J. D. Kimel, Phys. Rev. D **3**, 2153 (1971).

[8] J. Kambor, *The* $\Delta(1232)$ *as an Effective Degree of Freedom in Chiral Perturbation Theory*, Talk given at the Workshop on Chiral Dynamics, 1997 Mainz, Germany, September 1–5, 1997; hep–ph/9711484 26 November 1997.

[9] K. Kabir, T. K. Dutta, Muslema Pervin and L. M. Nath, *The Role of* $\Delta(1232)$ *in Two–pion Exchange Three–nucleon Potential*, hep–th/9910043, October 1999.

[10] A. N. Ivanov, M. Nagy and N. I. Troitskaya, Phys. Rev. C **59**, 451 (1999).

[11] D. O. Riska and G. E. Brown, Phys. Lett. B **38**, 193 (1972); T.–S. Park, D.–P. Min and M. Rho, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 4153 (1995); Nucl. Phys. **A 596**, 515 (1996).

[12] G. H. Niephaus, M. Gari and B. Sommer, Phys. Rev. C **20**, 1096 (1979).

[13] E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **160**, 59 (1979).

[14] D. Allasia *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **174**, 450 (1986).